

## From 4 Minutes to 8 Seconds in an Hour

#### Liron Amitzi

liron@gotodba.com
 @amitzil
 https://gotodba.com

#### About Me

- Liron Amitzi
- Oracle DBA since 1998 (and Oracle 7)
- Database consultant since 2002
- Oracle ACE
- An independent senior DB consultant
- Recently moved to Vancouver, BC
- BCOUG president





500+ Technical **Experts Helping Peers Globally** 



oracle-ace\_ww@oracle.con



#### **3 Membership Tiers**

- Oracle ACE Director
- Oracle ACE
- Oracle ACE Associate

bit.ly/OracleACEProgram

Facebook.com/oracleaces

Connect:

@oracleace

akerNominate yourself or someone you know: acenomination.oracle.com



#### This session is based on a true story

# table and column names have been changed to protect the innocent



#### What are we Talking About?

- This happened more than 10 years ago, but is still relevant
- A dashboard query took about 4 minutes and timed out
- Spoiler alert at the end of the process the query took 8 seconds



#### What does SQL Tuning Include?

- Understanding the application design but why?
- Understanding the query logic but why?
- Understanding the query code OK I get that one
- Understanding what Oracle does sounds reasonable
- Trying to help Oracle do something better how?



## The Design

- Monitoring system
- Endpoints are sending many alerts
- After 100 alerts per endpoint old alerts are moved to ALERT\_HIST



#### More About the Design

- Alerts were coming quickly, so they added a sequence to ensure order
- PK was SEQ, date and endpoint\_id
- We couldn't change the base design (history structure) but were allowed to change anything else
- Partitions could be great here, but this was SE...

SEQ	Date	Endpoint	
1	10-OCT-18 2:00:00	1	
2	10-OCT-18 2:00:00	1	
3	10-OCT-18 2:00:00	2	
4	10-OCT-18 2:00:02	2	
5	10-OCT-18 2:00:02	2	



### **The Query Logic**



#### The Problem Query App ALERT\_CURR 300 rows? When the app could not find Query 300 rows in ALERT\_CURR More rows it queried ALERT\_HIST ALERT\_HIST In many cases it just took too long

GoToDBA

#### The Query Code (Original)

select \* from
 (select \*
 from <tab>
 where <filter>
 order by seq desc
 )
where rownum<=300;</pre>



#### The SEQ Column

- Was created only to make sure the order is preserved
- Today I would use timestamp
- Query often had a predicate on the date and order by SEQ
- We had PK (SEQ, date, endpoint\_id) and a regular index (date)
- When we use predicate on date, what will Oracle do?



#### SEQ Column - Index Usage #1

Range index scan on the date index:

- 1. Filter rows by the index
- 2. Fetch the rows by index rowid
- 3. Sort the result set
- 4. Return first 300



#### SEQ Column - Index Usage #2

Full index scan on the primary key:

- 1. Scan the entire index in descending order
- 2. Check if the date is in the range
- 3. Get the first 300 rows that match
- 4. Fetch the rows by index rowid





### Changing the PK

- Oracle decided to use the PK to scan the SEQ column ordered
- Since SEQ value is not important, we changed the PK:
  - The old PK was SEQ, date, endpoint\_id
  - The new PK was date, SEQ, endpoint\_id
- We also added the date to the order by
- That way Oracle used the index for both predicate and sort



#### The Query Code (New PK)

```
select * from
  (select *
    from <tab>
    where <filter>
    order by date desc, seq desc
  )
where rownum<=300;</pre>
```



## A Bug in the Logic!

- Wait a second!
- The design is based on numbers per endpoint, while the dashboard queries the latest
- There is a bug if one endpoint send many alerts while the others don't
- Dashboard might show wrong data as new data is already in ALERT\_HIST



#### Fixing the Bug

- ALERT\_HIST can contain alerts that are newer than some alerts in ALERT\_CURR
- We had to query ALERT\_HIST every time, which made the problem even worse!



#### The Query Code (Step 1)

```
select * from
   (select * from
       (select * from alert curr
        union all
        select * from alert hist
    where <filter>
    order by date desc, seq desc
where rownum<=300;</pre>
```



#### **Amount of Rows**

- After fixing all of this, the query was still slow...
- The indexes were not being used optimally
- The union and order by resulted in a lot of work on Oracle's side
- Returning 300 rows after sort requires a full sort operation
- Any ideas?



#### **Amount of Rows - Solution**

- We realized that we need 300 rows in the end
- That's 300 from the first table, or 300 from the second, or any combination of the two
- Let's limit each table to 300 rows efficiently and then take the top 300
- Makes sense?



#### The Query Code (Step 2)

```
select * from
 (select * from
    ((select * from
      (select * from alert curr where <filter> order by date*,seq*)
      where rownum<=300)</pre>
     union all
     (select * from
      (select * from alert hist where <filter> order by date*, seq*)
      where rownum<=300)
    where <filter> order by date*, seq*)
   where rownum<=300;
```

\* - desc order



#### Results

- The query that took 4 minutes at the beginning now took about 8 seconds
- Index range scan was very efficient (used for both date predicate and order by)
- There is a single order by operation of only 600 rows



#### Summary

- A successful project and a very satisfied customer
- We do need to understand the logic
- We do need cooperation from the developers, we are not magicians
- Without understanding the system we could not:
  - Find and fix the bug in the logic
  - Change the PK (what if there was a reason for SEQ to be first?)







## Q&A

#### Liron Amitzi

- Iiron@gotodba.com
  - 📑 @amitzil
- https://gotodba.com